## **REMARKS**

Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as being a reach-through claim for the reasons set forth in item 5 of the Action.

Claim 17 has been amended as kindly suggested by the Examiner.

Accordingly, this ground of rejection is deemed to be overcome.

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the reasons set forth in item 7 of the Action.

Claim 13 has been amended as kindly suggested by the Examiner.

In addition, claims 12 and 14 have been cancelled without prejudice.

Accordingly, this ground of rejection is deemed to be overcome.

Lastly, claims 12 and 14 are objected to as being duplicates of claim 13.

This ground of objection has been overcome in view of the cancellation of these claims.

Lastly, claim 13 has been amended to require a second component in accordance with PTO practice. Support is found on page 60, line 33 of the specification.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that each ground of rejection set forth in the Official Action has been overcome, and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, such allowance is solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Tsuneo YASUMA et al.

By: Wall

Warren M. Cheek

Registration No. 33,367 Attorney for Applicants

WMC/dlk Washington, D.C. 20006-1021 Telephone (202) 721-8200 Facsimile (202) 721-8250 September 29, 2008